



S&H Form: (02/05)

**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No. 1460.1021
Application Number 09/881,740
Filing Date June 18, 2001
First Named Inventor Yoshikazu KANAZAWA, et al.
Group Art Unit 2879

AMOUNT ENCLOSED 120.00 Examiner Name Ashok PATEL

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	29	- 29 =	0	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	14	- 14 =	0	X \$ 200.00 =	0.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of May 4, 2005, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160)):

120

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)

Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 120.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 120.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☒ Check enclosed as payment.
☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
☐ No payment is enclosed.

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:

Deposit Account No.

19-3935

Deposit Account Name

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name H. J. Staas


Reg. No. 22,010

Signature

Date

June 3, 2005

©2005 Staas & Halsey LLP



Docket No.: 1460.1021

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Yoshikazu KANAZAWA, et al.

Serial No. 09/881,740

Group Art Unit: 2879

Confirmation No. 8759

Filed: June 18, 2001

Examiner: ASHOK PATEL

For: PLASMA DISPLAY DEVICE WITH SHIELDING PARTS ON TRANSPARENT
ELECTRODES (AS AMENDED)

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT MAILED APRIL 4, 2005 IN
RELATION TO AN "AMENDMENT RESPONSIVE TO INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER PATEL
ON MARCH 18, 2005"**

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The aforesaid Notice specifically cites an "Amendment Responsive to Interview with Examiner Patel on March 18, 2005" and points out that the latter must be resubmitted under 37 CFR 1.121(h) since a complete listing of the claims is not present.

The paper in question was submitted in accordance with what were agreements reached with Examiner Patel at the referenced Interview and was not intended to be a formal Response to any outstanding Office Action.

Indeed, Examiner Patel called the undersigned attorney of record to request that similar amendments, as were present in amendments to claims 1 and 27-29 and as were included in as recitations new claims 31 and 32, were required in all independent claims pending herein. Applicants prepared a Supplemental Preliminary Amendment, a draft copy of which was forwarded to the involved client for review and approval, and the same is now being filed formally herewith.

It is noted that there is no outstanding Office Action to which Applicants were obligated to respond and, hence, it is submitted that there is no time period for Response to any U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Communication or Office Action which is applicable herein.

06/08/2005 HALI11 00000152 09881740

01 FC:1251

120.00 0P

Indeed, Examiner Patel is awaiting receipt of the Supplemental Preliminary as is now being filed herewith, in accordance with a telephone communication of the undersigned with Examiner Patel on June 2, 2005.

The Supplemental Preliminary Amendment herewith does relate as well to a Request For Continued Examiner (RCE) filed herein on January 4, 2005 which presented all of the then-pending claims and a Request to Examiner Patel to grant an Interview to discuss the status of prosecution and for clarification of the Examiner's Advisory Action mailed November 8, 2004. (Note that the Examiner's Communication of November 8, 2004 was an Advisory Action maintaining the rejections of the Final Rejection mailed June 28, 2004.)

To the extent the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment filed concurrently herewith is deemed responsive to a non-Final Office Action including a submission for an RCE, then a one-month extension, from expiration of the response time period of one-month from the mailing date of the subject Notice, is hereby requested by Petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and in accordance with which the Petition fee for same is enclosed herewith.

Presentation of and favorable action on the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment, filed herewith to Examiner Patel thereon are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: June 3, 2005

By: _____


H. J. Staas

Registration No. 22,010

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501